

Cases and applications for testing of skin and respiratory sensitizers

### About SenzaGen



- Founded in 2010
- Spin-out from Lund University after over 10 years research
- Highly multidisciplinary team:
   20+ employees today



- Business model: global industrial and CRO partnerships
- Own laboratory, continuous development of the technology



Our lead product, GARD™ stands for Genomic Allergen Rapid Detection and is a state-of-the art test platform for assessment of chemical sensitizers



#### Launched assays:

- GARD™skin/GARD™potencyfor skin sensitization
- GARD<sup>TM</sup>air for respiratory sensitization
- GARD<sup>TM</sup>skin Medical Device for skin sensitization assessment of medical devices



#### Items tested include:

- Active pharmaceutical ingredients
- Cosmetic ingredients
- Industrial chemicals
- Agrochemicals
- Medical device materials
- UVCBs, Pre-/pro haptens



- Since Sept. 2017, SenzaGen AB's shares have been traded on Nasdaq First North, Stockholm (SENZA)
- Partners in USA, EU, China, Korea



# The **GARD platform** – Currently available assays



### GARD™skin (200 genes)

To identify the skin sensitization hazard of chemicals



### **GARD**<sup>™</sup>skin Medical Device (200 genes)

To identify the skin sensitization hazard of medical devices



### **GARD**<sup>™</sup>potency (51 genes)

Skin sensitization potency classification according to GHS/CLP




### GARD™air (28 genes)

To identify the respiratory sensitization hazard of chemicals



# The GARD platform - One testing platform for many answers








### The GARD technology platform


Human relevant cells in combination with Genomics and machine learning

# The GARD platform - how it works

SenzaCells: a human dendritic-like cell-line




Cellular responses



# The GARD platform - how it works

Cellular response is monitored using biomarker signatures – **Not only a single biomarker** 

## GARDskin prediction signature 200 genes





Recognition of foreign substances e.g. TLRs, RXR, AHR



Immunological self-defence mechanisms e.g. CD80, CD86




**Cellular stress responses** e.g. **NRF2**-pathway



**Communication** e.g. chemotaxis receptors



# The GARD platform - how it works



Captures events downstream of KE1

Metabolic activity & identifies pre/pro haptens ALDH NAT-1 CYP - Cytochrome p-450

> Keap1-Nrf2-ARE pathway & AHR signalling NQO1

HMOX1

Thioredoxin reductase I

> Pro-Inflammatory cytokines mediating e.g. TNF $\alpha$ , INF $\gamma$ , IL-8

FAS MAP2KI COX20

> Inflammasome

NLRP PSTPIP1 > DC migration & maturation

CD86

MAPK- activation PKA- and GPCR- mediated signalling

> Antigen recognition & Innate immune activation


TLR-4 TLR-6

RXRA – retinoic X receptor

NLRP PSTPIP1

> Self-defence mechanisms C3a/C5a-activation pathways Covers the 3 Key steps for T-cell activation:

Antigen presentation Co-stimulation Cytokine secretion



Upload the results to the GDAA web app.


One press of the button and the algorithm

crunches the data

EENZA\_

The results are yours!





EENZA\_


The results are yours!

GARD DV < 0 = Non sensitizer

Upload the results to the GDAA web app. One press of the button and the algorithm

crunches the data





Upload the results to the GDAA web app.


One press of the button and the algorithm

crunches the data

EENZA\_

The results are yours!





Upload the results to the GDAA web app.

One press of the button and the algorithm

crunches the data

EENZA\_

The results are yours!



### **GARD**skin - Performance data

| Data set                     | Sensitivity   | Specificity | Accuracy      | Reference       |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Validation of the GARD assay | 93% (51/55)   | 96% (24/25) | 94% (75/80)   | Johansson, 2019 |
| Accumulated performance      | 92% (134/145) | 81% (50/62) | 89% (184/207) | -               |

TOXICOLOGICAL SCIENCES, 170(2), 2019, 374-381

doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfz108

Advance Access Publication Date: May 17, 2019

Research Article

Validation of the GARD™skin Assay for Assessment of Chemical Skin Sensitizers: Ring Trial Results of Predictive Performance and Reproducibility

<u>Henrik Johansson</u>, <sup>1</sup> <u>Robin Gradin</u>, <sup>1</sup> <u>Angelica Johansson</u>, <sup>1</sup> <u>Els Adriaens</u>, <sup>2</sup> <u>Amber Edwards</u>, <sup>3</sup> Veronika Zuckerstätter, <sup>4</sup> Anders Jerre, <sup>1</sup> Florence Burleson, <sup>3</sup> Helge Gehrke, <sup>4</sup> and Erwin L Roggen<sup>5</sup> Within Laboratory Reproducibility:

SenzaGen 82% Burleson 83% Eurofins 89%

Between Laboratory Reproducibility:

Concordance: 92%



# GARDskin & GARDpotency – REACH registration

"The REACH Regulation allows the use of non-adopted in vitro methods in case they meet the EURL ECVAM criteria for entering pre-validation. For the GARD assay this criteria is met, as it is currently being validated."

"The current REACH information requirements require that three KEs are examined and GARD assay can be used to assess the KE 3."






# GARDskin - Broad applicability domain

The standard assay protocol is applicable for small molecules in general: from cosmetics ingredients to various industrial chemicals, including pre- and prohaptens

SenzaGen and its partners also offer lab services for the explorative assessment of 'difficult-to-test' substances:


- UVCBs
- Natural extracts and mixtures
- Pesticides
- Pharmaceutical ingredients (drug candidates)
- ...and more



Source: SenzaGen in-house studies, excluding data from customer projects



### More info at: **www.senzagen.com**





# Case study I - GARDskin for "difficult to test" substances

### Why substances can be difficult to test:

Pre/Pro haptens: Activation may be needed to create the allergen.

### SenzaCells:

Aldehyde dehydrogenases (ALDH) Cytochrome p-450 (CYP) N-acetyltransferase 1 (NAT-1)

**Solubility:** High log P<sub>0/w</sub> value or other properties leading to low solubility in aqueous media.



# Case study I - GARDskin for "difficult to test" substances

| Compound                    | Pre/pro<br>hapten | High<br>logP | DPRA<br>(TG442C) | ARE-NRF2<br>(TG 442D) | h-CLAT<br>(TG442E) | GARD<br>(TGP 4.106) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 2-Aminophenol               | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| 2-nitro-1,4-Phenylendiamine | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Aniline                     | YES               | -            | NS               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Cinnamic alcohol            | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Ethylene diamine            | YES               | -            | NS               | S                     | S                  | NS <sup>1</sup>     |
| Eugenol                     | YES               | -            | S                | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Geraniol                    | YES               | -            | NS               | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Hydroquinone                | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>2</sup>      |
| Isoeugenol                  | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | NS                 | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Linalool                    | YES               | -            | NS               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| p-Phenylenediamine          | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Propyl gallate              | YES               | -            | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Resorcinol                  | YES               | -            | NS               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Farnesol                    | YES               | -            | NS               | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Abietic acid                | YES               | YES (6.5)    | S                | S                     | NS                 | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Chlorpromazine              | YES               | YES (5.4)    | NA               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Lauryl gallate              | YES               | YES (6.2)    | S                | S                     | S                  | S <sup>3</sup>      |
| Amylcinnamyl alcohol        | YES               | YES (4.4)    | S                | NS                    | NS                 | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Limonene                    | YES               | YES (4.6)    | NS               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Benzoyl peroxide            | -                 | YES (3.5)    | NS               | NS                    | S                  | S <sup>3</sup>      |
| Hexylcinnamic aldehyde      |                   | YES (4.8)    | S                | NS                    | NS                 | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Isopropyl myristate         |                   | YES (8.5)    | NS               | NS                    | S                  | NS <sup>4</sup>     |
| propyl paraben*1            |                   | YES (3.4)    | NS               | S                     | S                  | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Tocopherol                  |                   | YES (6.9)    | NS               | S                     | NS                 | S <sup>1</sup>      |
| Accuracy                    |                   |              | 61%              | 58%                   | 71%                | 92%                 |

#### References:

- <sup>1</sup> Johansson et al. 2017
- <sup>2</sup> Forreryd et al. 2016
- <sup>3</sup> Zeller et al. 2017
- <sup>4</sup> Johansson et al. 2019


\*Basketter Human potency Class 5



# Case study II - Testing of UVCBs

**UVCBs:** Unknown or Variable composition, Complex reaction products and Biological materials

The challenges: Complexity and uncertainty of compositions; Hydrophobic, often has solubility issues for water-based test systems



Available solvent: Acetone, Chlorobenzene, DMF, DMSO, Isopropanol, Ethanol, Glycerol, Hexane, Olive oil and sesame oil.

# Case study II - Testing of UVCBs

### Materials & Methods

Test item

7 UVCBs with known average MW

#### **GARDskin**

Additional solubility tests performed to select suitable solvents

### Results in comparison with existing in vivo data

| Test<br>items | Existing data           | Existing classification | GARDskin |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| 1             | LLNA, Buehler           | S, 1B                   | S        |
| 2             | LLNA                    | NS                      | S        |
| 3             | LLNA, Buehler           | S, 1B                   | S        |
| 4             | LLNA                    | S, 1B                   | S        |
| 5             | Buehler, HRIPT          | NS                      | NS       |
| 6             | LLNA, Buehler,<br>HRIPT | S, 1B                   | S        |
| 7             | Buehler                 | S, 1B                   | S        |

### **Conclusion**

#### **GARDskin:**

good applicability potential for UVCBs, consistent with *in vivo* data.

The assessment of UVCBs need to be handled case by case.



# Case study III- Testing of natural mixtures

**Henna:** natural dye from Lawsonia inermis.

**Henna-based hair colouring products:** often considered safer alternatives to synthetic hair dyes containing known skin sensitizers such as p-phenylenediamine (PPD). However, skin allergic reactions to henna products have been reported.



#### Materials & Methods

### Pre study

GARDskin assessment of 10 commonly used hair dye ingredients

### Main study

GARDskin assessment of 10 hennabased products (powder mixtures)

HPLC examination of the presence of PPD in the products



\*The images are from the internet and only serve as examples of commercially available henna products



# Case study III- Testing of natural mixtures

Table 1.GARDskin prediction results for commonly used hair dye ingredients

| Test materials              | Human<br>classification | Animal classification | mDPRA | IL-18 | USENS™ | GARD™ skin |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|------------|
| Reference controls          |                         |                       |       |       |        |            |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide          | NS                      | NS                    | NS    | NS    | NS     | NS         |
| Glycerol                    | NS                      | NS                    | NS    | NS    | NS     | NS         |
| Sodium dodecyl sulfate      | NS                      | S                     | S     | NS    | S      | NS         |
| DNCB                        | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Eugenol                     | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| 2-Hydroxyethyl acrylate     | S                       | S                     | -     | -     | _      | S          |
| Hair dye ingredients        |                         |                       |       |       |        |            |
| 1,4-Diaminoanthraquinone    | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| 2-Amino-3-hydroxypyridine   | S                       | NS                    | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Lawsone                     | NA                      | Equivocal             | S     | NS    | S      | S          |
| 5-Amino- <i>o</i> -cresol   | S                       | S                     | S     | NS    | S      | S          |
| Hydroquinone                | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| <i>p</i> -Phenylenediamine  | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Resorcinol                  | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Disperse orange 3           | S                       | S                     | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Basic red 51                | NA                      | NS                    | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Pyrogallol                  | S                       | NS                    | S     | S     | S      | S          |
| Concordance vs. Human data  |                         |                       | 91.7% | 92.3% | 92.3%  | 100%       |
| Concordance vs. Animal data |                         | 78.5%                 | 76.9% | 64.3% | 78.6%  | 73.3%      |



# Case study III - Testing of natural mixtures

Table 2. GARDskin prediction results for 10 commercial henna-based products

| Henna<br>Product | PPD<br>(label) | PPD              | mDPRA | IL-18 | USENS™ | GARDskin™ |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| 1                |                | 1.091 ±<br>0.028 | S     | S     | S      | S         |
| 2                | +              | 2.970 ±<br>0.046 | S     | S     | S      | S         |
| 3                |                | 0.030 ±<br>0.001 | S     | S     | NS     | S         |
| 4                |                | 0.032 ±<br>0.006 | S     | S     | NS     | S         |
| 5                |                | 4.321 ±<br>0.028 | S     | S     | S      | S         |
| 6                |                | 1.020 ±<br>0.100 | S     | S     | S      | S         |
| 7                |                | 0.577 ±<br>0.015 | S     | NS    | S      | S         |
| 8                | +              | 2.541 ±<br>0.057 | S     | NS    | S      | S         |
| 9                |                | 0.760 ±<br>0.017 | S     | S     | S      | S         |
| 10               |                | 3.354 ±<br>0.163 | S     | S     | S      | S         |

### Conclusion

GARDskin show high concordance to human data for testing of hair dye ingredients

GARDskin is applicable for henna-based hair colouring products (powder mixtures).



# Case study IV- Testing of oil-based mixtures

**The Customer:** a leading supplier of natural based functional ingredients/ raw materials for cosmetic and personal care applications

The problem: An oil-based mixture with unpleasant and characteristic smell

- Used as raw materials sold to cosmetic and personal care companies
- The oil-based mixture contains **chemical x** as contaminant, which is the suspect for the unpleasant smell.
- Distillation to get rid of contaminants of chemical x




# Case study IV - Testing of oil-based mixtures

### Methods & Results (GARDskin)



# Test item 2 Oil mixture containing 1200 ppm **chemical x**Non sensitizer

# Test item 3 Distilled oil mixture containing 10 ppm chemical x Sensitizer



### **Conclusion**

This case is a good example of how GARDskin can be used for assessment of oilbased mixtures and essential oils.



# **Case study V**– Testing **Medical Devices** for skin sensitization hazard


# *In vitro* skin sensitization testing in the Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices according to ISO 10993-10:2010


- Use polar and non-polar extraction vehicles according to ISO 10993-12:2012 (saline, sesame oil, super refined olive oil and cell culture media)
- Classify leachables in extracts of medical devices as either skin sensitizers or nonsensitizers
- 200 genomic biomarkers in GARDskin Predictive Signature (GPS)



# **Case study V**– Testing **Medical Devices** for skin sensitization hazard

**Extraction steps** of solid material follow the international standards for safety assessment of **Medical Device (ISO 10993-12)**.







# **Case study V**– Testing **Medical Devices** for skin sensitization hazard

Table 1. Summary of the results from the in-house validation of GARD®skin Medical Device compared with LLNA (as listed in the CE STTF database) and Human potency classification (HP) for the chemicals (Basketter et al. 2014).

| Tost material    | Chamical           | Sensitizing potential |       | GARD®skin Medical Device Prediction |                |                |  |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Test material    | Chemical           | LLNA                  | HP    | Saline                              | Olive oil      | Sesame oil     |  |
|                  | None               | N/A                   | N/A   | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Non-sensitizer |  |
|                  | 2-aminophenol      | Strong                | Cat 2 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Sensitizer     |  |
| Silicone         | Cinnamic aldehyde  | Moderate              | Cat 2 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Sensitizer     |  |
|                  | Propyl gallate     | Strong                | Cat 2 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Sensitizer     |  |
|                  | Phenyl benzoate    | Weak                  | Cat 3 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Sensitizer     |  |
|                  | None               | N/A                   | N/A   | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Not tested     |  |
| TPU              | Propyl gallate     | Strong                | Cat 2 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Not tested     |  |
|                  | Phenyl benzoate    | Weak                  | Cat 3 | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Not tested     |  |
| Silicone tube    | -                  | N/A                   | N/A   | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Non-sensitizer |  |
| TPU tube         | -                  | N/A                   | N/A   | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Non-sensitizer |  |
| PVC tube         | -                  | N/A                   | N/A   | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Non-sensitizer |  |
| Vehicle control  | -                  | Ne                    | eg    | Non-sensitizer                      | Non-sensitizer | Non-sensitizer |  |
| Positive control | p-Phenylenediamine | Po                    | os    | Sensitizer                          | Sensitizer     | Sensitizer     |  |



### Materials & Methods

In this customer study, **GARDskin**, **GARDpotency** and **GARDair** were used to predict and compare the skin and respiratory sensitisation potential of **three experimental** and **two commercial e-liquids**. To our knowledge this is the first published study assessing e-liquids using in vitro assays.

Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 103 (2019) 158-165



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology





The use of Genomic Allergen Rapid Detection (GARD) assays to predict the respiratory and skin sensitising potential of e-liquids




Matthew Stevenson<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lukasz Czekala<sup>a</sup>, Liam Simms<sup>a</sup>, Nicole Tschierske<sup>a</sup>, Olivia Larne<sup>b</sup>, Tanvir Walele<sup>a</sup>




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Imperial Brands PLC, 121 Winterstoke Road, Bristol, BS3 2LL, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Senza Gen, Scheelevägen 2, 22381, Lund, Sweden




E-liquids are usually complex mixtures, especially when they include natural flavourings






### **GARDair prediction**

A controls: 5 out of 7 accurately classified as respiratory sensitizers, no false positives. Sensitivity 71%, Specificity 100%, Overall accuracy 89%





### **GARDskin prediction:**

2 commercially available flavoured e-liquids were predicted as skin sensitizers

### **GARDpotency prediction:**

**Test substances:** 2 commercially available flavoured e-liquids were further classified as weak skin sensitizers (1B)



Composition of test materials. PG: Propylene glycol; VG: Vegetable glycerol; BL: base liquid; CF: commercially available flavoured e-liquid.

| Test material                      | Conten | Content [w/w %] |          |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|
|                                    | PG     | VG              | Nicotine | Other substances (e.g. water, flavourings) |  |  |
| BL 0%ª                             | 50     | 50              | _        | -  |  |  |
| BL 1.6% <sup>a</sup>               | 49.2   | 49.2            | 1.6      | _  |  |  |
| BL 4.5% <sup>a</sup>               | 47.75  | 47.75           | 4.5      | -  |  |  |
| CF Blu Cherry<br>1.6% <sup>a</sup> | 40.4   | 53.4            | 1.6      | 4.6  |  |  |
| CF 1.2% <sup>a</sup>               | 66.5   | 18.8            | 1.1      | 13.6                                       |  |  |

a % refer to % nicotine content.

Test substance classification with the GARD assay for respiratory sensitisers, GARDskin and GARDpotency assays.

|                    | Respiratory assay | GARDskin       | GARDpotency |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| BL 0%              | Non-Sensitiser    | Non-Sensitiser | No Cat      |
| BL 1.6%            | Non-Sensitiser    | Non-Sensitiser | No Cat      |
| BL 4.5%            | Non-Sensitiser    | Non-Sensitiser | No Cat      |
| CF Blu Cherry 1.6% | Non-Sensitiser    | Sensitiser     | 1 B         |
| CF 1.2%            | Non-Sensitiser    | Sensitiser     | 1 B         |

### Conclusion

The GARD platform offers an integrated test strategy for assessment of skin and respiratory sensitization potential of complex mixtures such as e-liquids.

The assays are potentially useful for product development and hazard identification of other types of complex formulations.



## The GARD platform - How can I

## get my substances tested?

### Contact us:

We help to design the testing strategy for your specific substances.

### Select assay(s):

GARDskin, GARDpotency, GARDair, GARDskin Medical Device

### **Test Substance Questionnaire:**

Do you have information on vehicles? If not, we evaluate it for you.

#### **Turnaround time:**


4-6 weeks.

### Sample requirements:

0.5 g (solids) or 1 ml (liquids). Can be adapted to lower amounts.

### Your preferred testing site:

Select where you like to have your testing done.



# The **GARD** platform – Where can I get my testing done?



#### Licence Labs\*:

Burleson Research Technologies Eurofins BPT MB Research Laboratories

#### Distributors\*:


Charles River Laboratories
Eurosafe
Guangzhou CHN-ALT Biotech Co., Ltd
PKDerm
Woo Jung BSC
XCellR8

\* In alphabetic order



### Information and contacts

#### www.senzagen.com



Andy Forreryd, PhD

Key Account Manager & Scientific Liaison
andy.forreryd@senzagen.com

+46 734331777

Joshua Schmidt, PhD

Business Development Director Americas

Joshua.schmidt@senzagen.com

+1 651.440.5691

